## **FOOD SHELF LIFE TESTING**

KEY DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REAL-TIME SHELF-LIFE TESTING AND ACCELERATED SHELF-LIFE TESTING:

## **REAL-TIME SHELF-LIFE TESTING**

## **ACCELERATED SHELF-LIFE TESTING**

Products are stored under recommended storage conditions (temperature, humidity, light) for their entire claimed shelf life.



Products are subjected to harsher storage conditions (higher temperatures, humidity levels) than what they would experience normally.

Directly observes how a product degrades and loses quality over time under realistic storage conditions.



Simulate and accelerate the degradation process to predict shelf life in a shorter timeframe.

Provides the most accurate prediction of shelf life for consumers and retailers.



Provides faster results compared to real-time testing. This allows for quicker product development cycles and go-to-market strategies.

Can be very timeconsuming, taking months or even years to complete depending on the product's shelf life.



Predictions may not be as accurate as real-time testing because the stressed conditions don't perfectly replicate real-world storage.

Can be more expensive due to the extended storage duration and resource requirements.



Generally less expensive than realtime testing due to the shorter duration.

## **CHOOSING THE RIGHT METHOD:**

The choice between real-time and accelerated shelf-life testing depends on several factors:

- **Product type:** Highly perishable products might favor real-time testing, while shelf-stable products may benefit from ASLT.
- **Budget and Time Constraints:** If speed is a priority and budget is limited, ASLT might be the better option.
- Regulatory Requirements: Some regulations might require real-time testing for specific product categories.